

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/715,243	11/18/2003	Mark N. Heflin	991316	4994	
7590 01/13/2005			EXAMINER		
United States Army Legal Services Agency			DINH, TIEN QUANG		
Suite 527 901 North Stuart Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington, VA 22203-1837			3644		

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			11			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- M			
Office Action Summary		10/715,243	HEFLIN ET AL.	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tien Dinh	3644				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
THE - Extending - If th - If N - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may all ywithin the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) More, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	eation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C	October 2004.	:				
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-final.					
3)							
Disposi	tion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are withdray Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
•	objected to by the Examiner. objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have bee tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	;			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/18/03</u> .) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 10/14/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the applicant did not know how the method can be used on a land vehicle. This is not found persuasive because the method as claimed can be used on land vehicles to sever extraction lines. Furthermore, the apparatus can be used differently from the claimed method. It does not have to be used to cut extraction lines.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Underwood et al in view of Kenzie.

Underwood et al teaches a device that is used to cut the extraction line of the parachute in case of an emergency but is silent on the radio signal to initiate a cutter that is spring biased to cut the extraction line and means to restrict the cutter from cutting the extraction line. However, Kenzie teaches that a device to restrict a cutter from cutting a line is well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a cutter that is spring biased to cut the extraction line and means to restrict the cutter

from cutting the extraction line in Underwood et al's system as taught by Kenzie as a substitution of parts. Furthermore, the Examiner takes judicial notice that remote control means are well known in this day and age and that one skilled in the art would have used remote control means to control the cutting of the extraction line.

Please note that Underwood et al teaches the cutter having a housing 62. A housing has upper plate and lower plates and have pockets inbetween. One skilled in the art would have machined the housing to include mounting, guiding slots, and holes to accommodate the parts inside and to save weight. Please note that spacers, clamping means, and aluminum metals are well known in this day and age to have been used to create housings.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 14-15 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Smith et al, Leger, Hoffman, Farcinade, Temple et al, Conroy et al, and Norton disclose parachute line systems.

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 703-308-2798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703)305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

Time soul